DeepMind

Graph Neural Networks in Computational Biology

(a Personal Perspective)

Petar Veličković

Computational Biology Society Seminar Imperial College London 19 April 2021





In this talk: Graph neural networks for biological data



What this talk is *not*!



What this talk is **not**! 🙈



AlphaFold: a solution to a 50-year-old grand challenge in biology

For more on AlphaFold, see:

https://deepmind.com/blog/article/alphafold-a-solution-to-a-50-year-old-gr and-challenge-in-biology

What this talk *is*



- Molecular interactions
- Protein function prediction
- Genome assembly
- Computational neuroscience
- Electronic Health Records





Female Age 60 Post Lumbar Spinal Surgery Hypertension Male Age 66 Post Lumbar Spinal Surgery Congestive Heart Failure Hypertension Pacemaker (position unknown) Peripheral Vascular Disease Deep Vein Thrombosis Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes Valve Disease

Male Age 71 Post Lumbar Spinal Surgery Hypertension



What this talk **is**

- Hopefully an exciting field from *many* angles :)
 - Molecular interactions
 - Protein function prediction
 - Genome assembly
 - Computational neuroscience
 - Electronic Health Records
- More broadly...
 - Personal perspective on this rich, interdisciplinary field
 - For ML audience: you can do it!
 - + a **blueprint** for approaching the area
 - For Bio audience: hopefully a useful **computational tool**

(for both: interdisciplinary collaboration can work wonders!)



- Born in Belgrade (Serbia) in the 1990s
 - Family members worked for local representatives of "big pharma" (Merck)





- Born in Belgrade (Serbia) in the 1990s
 - Family members worked for local representatives of "big pharma" (Merck)
- Gradually increasing interest towards **computer science** especially classical algorithms



- Born in Belgrade (Serbia) in the 1990s
 - Family members worked for local representatives of "big pharma" (Merck)
- Gradually increasing interest towards **computer science** especially classical algorithms
- Developed strong interest in biology in high school (primarily thanks to Branka Dobrković)

I'm a biologist, and Petar already has high experience in computer science. To me this combination seems like an ideal link for very attractive scientific disciplines in the world – bioinformatics and similar. It seems to me like this connection of natural sciences with computer science would be the perfect choice for him.

- Born in Belgrade (Serbia) in the 1990s
 - Family members worked for local representatives of "big pharma" (Merck)
- Gradually increasing interest towards **computer science** especially classical algorithms
- Developed strong interest in biology in high school (primarily thanks to Branka Dobrković)
- Computer Science at Cambridge (2012--15)
 - Lost nearly all contact with biology
- Reached out to Prof Pietro Liò for my final-year project
 - Realised that bioinformatics is **brimming** with classical algorithms
 - Pietro suggested a project in *machine learning*, however...
 - The rest is history (i.e. this talk)



Before GNNs...

- I started my PhD in 2016, with a paper classifying breast cancer
- Officially I was a "Research Assistant in Computational Biology"
 - But **no formal training** in biology!
 - Luckily, the field is remarkably accessible and full of interesting problems to solve
 - It was very helpful to talk to domain experts and understand the "burning questions"
- Fruitful collaborations lead to **Parapred** (Bioinformatics) and **ChronoMID** (PLOS ONE)
 - Carefully crafted machine learning solutions to problems posed by domain experts

Parapred: antibody paratope prediction using convolutional and recurrent neural networks @

Edgar Liberis 🖾, Petar Veličković, Pietro Sormanni 🖾, Michele Vendruscolo, Pietro Liò

Bioinformatics, Volume 34, Issue 17, 01 September 2018, Pages 2944–2950, https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty305 **Published:** 16 April 2018 **Article history** ▼

ChronoMID—Cross-modal neural networks for 3-D temporal medical imaging data

Alexander G. Rakowski, Petar Veličković, Enrico Dall'Ara 🖾, Pietro Liò

Published: February 21, 2020 • https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228962



Before GNNs...

- I started my PhD in 2016, with a paper classifying breast cancer
- Officially I was a "Research Assistant in Computational Biology"
 - But **no formal training** in biology!
 - Luckily, the field is remarkably accessible and full of interesting problems to solve
 - It was very helpful to talk to domain experts and understand the "burning questions"
- Fruitful collaborations lead to **Parapred** (Bioinformatics) and **ChronoMID** (PLOS ONE)
 - Carefully crafted machine learning solutions to problems posed by domain experts
- "Game changing" moment in 2017, when I discovered graph representation learning
 Why should you care?



Molecules are graphs!

- A very natural way to represent molecules is as a graph
 - Atoms as nodes, bonds as edges
 - Features such as **atom type**, **charge**, **bond type**...



GNNs for molecule classification

- Interesting task to predict is, for example, whether the molecule is a potent **drug**.
 - Can do binary classification on whether the drug will inhibit certain bacteria. (E.coli)
 - Train on a **curated dataset** for compounds where response is known.





Follow-up study

- Once trained, the model can be applied to *any* molecule.
 - Execute on a large dataset of known candidate molecules.
 - Select the *~top-100* candidates from your GNN model.
 - Have chemists thoroughly investigate those (after some additional filtering).
- Discover a previously overlooked compound that is a **highly potent** antibiotic!





Arguably the most popularised success story of graph neural networks to date!



(Stokes et al., Cell'20)

Arguably the most popularised success story of graph neural networks to date!



bacteria.

(Stokes et al., Cell'20)









GNNs are a **very hot** research topic



Rich ecosystem of libraries



github.com/deepmind/graph nets

Rich ecosystem of datasets



ogb.stanford.edu

https://pytorch-geometric.readthedocs. io/en/latest/modules/datasets.html graphlearning.io

Benchmarking Graph Neural Networks

github.com/graphdeeplearning/benchmarking-gnns



How to **process** the graph?



 $\mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{N}_b} = \{\!\!\{\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b, \mathbf{x}_c, \mathbf{x}_d, \mathbf{x}_e\}\!\!\}$



What's in a GNN layer?

- We construct useful functions over graphs, f, by shared application of a local permutation-invariant function $g(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{X}_{Ni})$.
 - We often refer to f as "GNN layer", g as "diffusion", "propagation", "message passing"
- We will take a quick look at ways in which we can actually concretely **define** g.
 - Very intense area of research!
- Fortunately, *almost all* proposed layers can be classified as one of three *spatial* "flavours".

The three "flavours" of GNN layers



Convolutional GNN

• Features of neighbours aggregated with fixed weights, c_{ii}

$$\mathbf{h}_i = \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_i, \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} c_{ij} \psi(\mathbf{x}_j)\right)$$

- Usually, the weights depend directly on **A**.
 - ChebyNet (Defferrard et al., NeurIPS'16)
 - GCN (Kipf & Welling, ICLR'17)
 - SGC (Wu et al., ICML'19)
- Useful for **homophilous** graphs and **scaling up**
 - When edges encode label similarity



Attentional GNN

• Features of neighbours aggregated with **implicit** weights (via *attention*)

$$\mathbf{h}_{i} = \phi \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}} a(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}) \psi(\mathbf{x}_{j}) \right)$$

- Attention weight computed as $a_{ii} = a(\mathbf{x}_{i'}, \mathbf{x}_{i})$
 - MoNet (Monti et al., CVPR'17)
 - GAT (Veličković et al., ICLR'18)
 - GaAN (Zhang et al., UAI'18)
- Useful as "middle ground" w.r.t. **capacity** and **scale**
 - Edges need not encode homophily
 - But still compute *scalar* value in each edge



Message-passing GNN

• Compute **arbitrary vectors** (*"messages"*) to be sent across edges

$$\mathbf{h}_i = \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_i, \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \psi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\right)$$

- Messages computed as $\mathbf{m}_{ij} = \psi(\mathbf{x}_{i'}, \mathbf{x}_{j})$
 - Interaction Networks (Battaglia et al., NeurIPS'16)
 - MPNN (Gilmer et al., ICML'17)
 - GraphNets (Battaglia et al., 2018)
- Most generic GNN layer
 - May have *scalability* or *learnability* issues
 - Ideal for computational chemistry, reasoning and simulation





















If you'd like to know more

For (substantially!) more context, I recently gave a talk on **theoretical GNN foundations**: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uF53xsT7mjc</u>



...Back to the past 🕸

- In 2017, as part of my Mila internship we proposed Graph Attention Networks (GATs)
 - One of the first prominent examples of attentional GNN
 - They remain a popular model to this day
- It was only loosely clear that models like these could benefit my biological projects
 - We set out to find out exactly how...

DeepMind

CNNs* for Mesh-based Parcellation of the Cerebral Cortex

Guillem Cucurull, Konrad Wagstyl, Arantxa Casanova, **Petar Veličković**, Estrid Jakobsen, Michal Drozdzal, Adriana Romero, Alan Evans and Yoshua Bengio


Cortex parcellation

- Different areas of the cerebral cortex are involved in different cognitive processes
 - Visual processing
 - Language comprehension
- Mapping these areas helps us understand how the cortex is organised
- Our graph attention network paper was, in fact, built for this very purpose :)
- We focus on regions 44 and 45 of **Broca's area**:







What is a cortical mesh?

- Common coordinate system
- Can represent multiple modalities and features
- Can be used to coregister cortical surfaces between different individuals
- We can run a GNN over the nodes in the mesh!
 - Classify nodes as "44", "45", or "background".

















+ Node **positional** features!







With hindsight...

- Meshes come with a lot of useful geometry
 - Evident by utility of positional features
 - (Vanilla) GNNs would *discard* that information



- We now have a **wealth** of architectures that are specialising for the mesh domain!
 - Geodesic CNN (Masci et al.)
 - MoNet (Monti *et al.*)
 - Gauge Equivariant Mesh CNN (de Haan *et al.*)
- All of the above would make great choices for processing the brain mesh!
 - Perhaps an interesting future project? 👀
 - Reach out to me if you're curious!





(a) Parallel transport on a flat mesh

(b) Parallel transport along an edge of a general mesh.

...Back to the past 🧠

- This project proved to me the untapped utility that GNNs can have in biological problems
 - We applied the GCN and GAT models pretty much out-of-the-box!
- Now was the time to revisit my earlier collaboration (Parapred) under this lens.



DeepMind

Attentive Cross-modal Paratope Prediction

Andreea Deac, Petar Veličković and Pietro Sormanni





Motivation for antibody design

- Antibodies are
 - Y-shaped proteins
 - a critical part of our immune system
- They neutralise pathogenic bacteria and viruses by tagging the antigen in a "lock and key" system.
- Designing our own arbitrary antibodies would be a big step towards personalised medicine.
- (You've probably heard a whole lot about antibodies and antigens in the past year...)





Towards personalised medicine

- Generating an antibody requires first predicting the specific amino acids (the **paratope**) which participate in the neutralisation of the antigen.
- Input: a sequence of (one-hot encoded) antibody amino acids.

(+ a sequence of (one-hot encoded) antigen amino acids)

• **Output:** probability for each amino acid to participate in the binding with the antigen.



Paratope prediction





Parapred and Fast-Parapred architecture





Paratope prediction (+ antigen)



Fast-Parapred





AG-Fast-Parapred





AG-Fast-Parapred







	ROC AUC	MCC	Epoch time
proABC	0.851	0.522	
Parapred	$\textbf{0.880} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	$\textbf{0.564} \pm \textbf{0.005}$	$\textbf{0.190} \pm \textbf{0.019s}$
Fast-Parapred	$\textbf{0.883} \pm \textbf{0.001}$	$\textbf{0.572} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	$\textbf{0.085} \pm 0.015 \text{s}$
AG-Fast-Parapred	0 .899 ± 0.004	0 . 598 ± 0.012	$0.178 \pm 0.020 s$



The model learns the antibody/antigen **geometry** without being given any positional information!





- Now it was apparent that stitching GNNs into protein-protein interaction made sense!
- Could we explore some other cases of molecular interaction?



DeepMind

Drug-Drug Adverse Effect Prediction with Graph Co-Attention

Andreea Deac, Yu/Hsiang Huang, **Petar Veličković**, Pietro Liò and Jian Tang







Drug use is increasing





Nominal and inflation-adjusted per capita spending on retail prescription drugs, 1960-2017



Polypharmacy

- Polypharmacy is the concurrent use of multiple medications by a patient.
- It is necessary for chronic, complex or multiple conditions and most of the increase in cost comes from treating these.
- "Hulk & Iron Man" analogy: drugs correspond to 'heroes', but putting them together can destroy the surrounding city!







Adverse side-effects

- Side effects affecting 15% of the population, treatment costs exceeding \$177 billion/year
- Some found in Phase IV of clinical trials
- But plenty are undiscovered when the drugs are put on the market



Related work

- Most models predict if a side-effect exists or not (using drug-drug similarity: chemical substructures, individual drug side effects, interaction profile fingerprints)
- Others model the interactions between pairs of drugs, pairs of proteins and drug-protein pairs to predict "missing" links between them.
- We, instead, represents molecules as graphs!



* Modeling polypharmacy side effects with graph convolutional networks, Žitnik et al, 2018







Graph co-attention



The (MH)CADDI Architecture



Variants considered

- MPNN-Concat: removing co-attention, i.e. learning drug representations independently;
- Late-Outer: where co-attention messages are not aggregated until the last layer;
- CADDI: only K = 1 attention head.



Table 1: Comparative evaluation results afterstratified 10-fold crossvalidation.

	AUROC
Drug-Fingerprints [21]	0.744
RESCAL [30]	0.693
DEDICOM [31]	0.705
DeepWalk [32]	0.761
Concatenated features [46]	0.793
Decagon [46]	0.872
MHCADDI (ours)	0.882
MHCADDI-ML (ours)	0.819

Table 2: Ablation study for various aspects of the MHCADDI model.

	AUROC
MPNN-Concat	0.661
Late-Outer	0.724
CADDI	0.778
MHCADDI	0.882





- It was ~at this point I graduated from my PhD, and joined DeepMind
- Gradually oriented back towards classical algorithms, and away from biology
 Luckily, biology is *packed* with interesting classical algorithms :)
- The following three works (time permitting) represent a medley of biological approaches I was involved in during this time.
 - Two of these opportunities came *not far from home* :)
 - The third one was **years** in the making!



DeepMind

Hierarchical Protein Function Prediction with Tail-GNNs

Stefan Spalević, Petar Veličković, Jovana Kovačević and Mladen Nikolić







Protein function prediction

- Detecting mechanisms of action for proteins is a highly relevant task!
- It is also an area ripe with graphs!
 - Protein itself can be represented as a graph (if known structure; Gligorijević et al.)
 - Protein-protein interaction networks are graphs (standard **PPI** benchmark for GNNs)
 - In this particular domain, a graph comes up in one more place...



Protein function prediction

• The label space of functions is itself a graph! (gene ontology)



- Requires a GNN in the label space
 - Our literature survey suggested no proposals like this!
 - Once again, a biological problem motivates a core architecture


Tail-GNN





Quantitative results

• With the right aggregator choice + spectral features, yields significant benefits!

Model	Validation F_1	Test F_1
Labelling network	0.582 ± 0.003	0.584 ± 0.003
Tail-GNN-mean	0.583 ± 0.006	0.586 ± 0.004
Tail-GNN-GAT	0.582 ± 0.004	0.587 ± 0.005
Tail-GNN-max	0.581 ± 0.002	0.585 ± 0.004
Tail-GNN-sum	0.596 ± 0.003	0.600 ± 0.003
Tail-GNN-sum (no spectral fts.)	0.587 ± 0.007	0.590 ± 0.008



DeepMind

A Step Towards Neural Genome Assembly

Lovro Vrček, Petar Veličković and Mile Šikić





Genome assembly





Genome assembly

Multiple Copies of a Genome (Millions of them)



Breaking the Genomes at Random Positions



"Burning" Some Reads



CTGATGA TGGACTACGCTAC TACTGCTAG CTGTATTACG ATCAGCTACCACA TCGTAGCTACG ATGCATTAGCAA GCTATCGGA TCAGCTACCA CATCGTAGC CTGATGATG GACTACGCT ACTACTGCTA GCTGTATTACG ATCAGCTACC ACATCGTAGCT ACGATGCATTA GCAAGCTATC GGATCAGCTAC CACATCGTAGC CTGATGATGG ACTACGCTAC TACTGCTAGCT GTATTACGATC AGCTACCAC ATCGTAGCTACG ATGCATTAGCA AGCTATCGG A TCAGCTACCA CATCGTAGC CTGATGATGGACT ACGCTACTACT GCTAGCTGTAT TACGATCAGC TACCACATCGT AGCTACGATGCA TTAGCAAGCT ATCGGATCA GCTACCACATC GTAGC

Genome assembly





Genome assembly using Hamiltonian paths

TAATGCCATGGGATGTT



But... the reads are **faulty**!

• Learn **algorithms** to prune errors



Figure 1: Example of structures in the assembly graph, before all the simplification steps. Letter A marks transitive edges, a short tip is marked with **B**, and a bubble which cannot be fully resolved is marked with **C**. Red crosses show which edges can be removed from the assembly graph.



Towards neural genome assembly

Algorithm

Transitive removal

Tips trimming

Bubble popping

Table 1: Scaling of algorithm execution for isolated learning of algorithms.

 $2\mathbf{x}$

Scaling

99.52%

99.49%

99.53%

8x

99.76%

99.70%

99.77%

20x

99.91%

99.87%

99.90%

4x

Pre-train on synthetic graphs...

...generalises to real organisms!

(Still preliminary, but **encouraging**!)

Table 2: Scaling of algorithm execution for parallel learning of algorithms.

99.00%

98.96%

99.03%

	Scaling				
Algorithm	1x	2x	4x	8x	20x
Transitive removal	98.21%	99.07%	99.50%	99.89%	99.92%
Tips trimming	98.45%	99.11%	99.46%	99.76%	99.89%
Bubble popping	98.17%	99.02%	99.51%	99.78%	99.90%

Table 3: Parallel algorithm execution on the assembly graph of lambda phage.

1x

98.10%

98.05%

98.16%

	Transitive removal	Tips trimming	Bubble popping
Lambda phage	98.04%	93.33%	97.47%
E. coli	99.67%	98.84%	99.26%



Further insight: Algorithmic reasoning

If you would like to know more details about teaching GNNs to be more "algorithmic":



Broader context: Combinatorial Optimisation

Combinatorial optimization and reasoning with graph neural networks

Quentin Cappart¹, Didier Chételat², Elias Khalil³, Andrea Lodi², Christopher Morris², and Petar Veličković^{*4}

¹Department of Computer Engineering and Software Engineering, Polytechnique Montréal ²CERC in Data Science for Real-Time Decision-Making, Polytechnique Montréal ³Department of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering, University of Toronto ⁴DeepMind

Combinatorial optimization is a well-established area in operations research and computer science. Until recently, its methods have focused on solving problem instances in isolation, ignoring the fact that they often stem from related data distributions in practice. However, recent years have seen a surge of interest in using machine learning, especially graph neural networks (GNNs), as a key building block for combinatorial tasks, either as solvers or as helper functions. GNNs are an inductive bias that effectively encodes combinatorial and relational input due to their permutation-invariance and sparsity awareness. This paper presents a conceptual review of recent key advancements in this emerging field, aiming at both the optimization and machine learning researcher. Our 43-page survey on GNNs for CO!

https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.09544

Section 3.3. details algorithmic reasoning, with comprehensive references.



DeepMind

Predicting Patient Outcomes with Graph Representation Learning

Emma Rocheteau*, Catherine Tong*, Petar Veličković, Nicholas Lane and Pietro Liò









Electronic Health Records (EHRs) in the ICU

- EHRs can provide plentiful information about a patient's progression
 - But not all data contained in there are easy to leverage by deep learning systems!
- Today, we focus on **diagnoses**.



Diagnosis information is hard to use

• Large number of possibilities makes distinguishing *patterns* of comorbidity **difficult**.



- There is a lack of data for rarer combinations.
 - A long tail of *rare* diagnoses, difficult for deep learning models to leverage!



Distribution of diagnoses in eICU





The "pattern recognition" method

- Commonly, the "long tail" of diagnoses is *discarded* and the rest embedded.
 - But this long tail often holds the most **useful** cues, which diagnosticians regularly use!
- How do **clinicians** often make decisions about diagnoses or prognoses?
- The pattern recognition diagnostic method, as described by Wikipedia:

"In a pattern recognition method the provider uses **experience** to recognize a pattern of clinical characteristics... This may be the primary method used in cases where diseases are "obvious", or the provider's **experience** may enable him or her to **recognize** the condition **quickly**."

- We interpret <u>experience</u> as exploitation of <u>related</u> cases the clinician treated in the past.
 - Hence, the cases form a **graph**!



These links definitely exist :)





The graph of patients

• Key assumption: patients with related diagnoses will likely have related prognoses!



• If we use this signal wisely, it can be a great way to regularise our model **and** make advantage of sparse diagnosis data.



How to **build** the graph?

• The "relatedness" score between two patients *i* and *j* is given by:

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \alpha \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_{i\mu} \mathcal{D}_{j\mu} \left(d_{\mu}^{-1} + \gamma \right) - \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_{i\mu} + \mathcal{D}_{j\mu}$$

where:

- **D** is a *diagnosis matrix* (s.t. $\mathbf{D}_{i\mu}$ means "does patient *i* have diagnosis μ "?)
- \circ d_{μ} is the *frequency* of diagnosis μ
- *m* is the *number* of diagnoses
- \circ α and γ are hyperparameters
- Can threshold based on the relatedness scores



Hybrid LSTM-GNN model





Our results





Qualitative: LSTM-GAT Attention weights



Male Age 66 Post Lumbar Spinal Surgery Congestive Heart Failure Hypertension Pacemaker (position unknown) Peripheral Vascular Disease Deep Vein Thrombosis Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes Valve Disease

Male Age 71 Post Lumbar Spinal Surgery Hypertension



AAAI'21 Workshop Recognition

Awards

Best short paper (\$250 winner, \$125 runner-up)

Runner-up

Emma Rocheteau, Catherine Tong, Petar Veličković, Nicholas Lane and Pietro Liò. Predicting Patient Outcomes with Graph Representation Learning

Winner

Beatrice Portelli, Daniele Passabi, Edoardo Lenzi, Giuseppe Serra, Enrico Santus and Emmanuele Chersoni. Improving Drug Event Extraction with SpanBERT on Different Text Type

In conclusion...

- Studying biological problems with graph representation learning is likely here to stay
 - Abundance of data "sitting and waiting to be processed"
 - In many problems of interest, state-of-the-art is still a **shallow** method
 - Often, biological problems can give rise to **core** methodological progress.
- With the right mindset, no proper biological training is needed!
 - Just the ability to carefully listen, and work **together** with biologists.
- For biologists: I hope I've convinced you that GNNs could be a useful tool!
- But ultimately, I would love to stimulate, and see even more of, **interdisciplinary** research.



DeepMind

Thank you!

Questions?

petarv@google.com | https://petar-v.com

With many thanks to:

Lead authors: Guillem Cucurull, Andreea Deac, Stefan Spalević, Lovro Vrček, Emma Rocheteau, Catherine Tong Bio/chem: Konrad Wagstyl, Estrid Jakobsen, Alan Evans, Pietro Sormanni, Pietro Lio, Mile Šikić, Jovana Kovačević ML collaborators: Arantxa Casanova, Yu-Hsiang Huang

6

ML advisors: Adriana Romero, Michal Drozdzal, Yoshua Bengio, Jian Tang,, Mladen Nikolić, Nic Lane